

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/719,742

Applicant(s)

HEINZ, RUDOLF

Examiner

Thomas M. Dougherty

Art Unit

2834

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thomas M. Dougherty.

(3)_____.

(2) Steven Stephan.

(4)_____.

Date of Interview: 23 January 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: n/a.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Stephan requested that the priority document be acknowledged as being received. The examiner said he would send out a supplemental allowance action indicating that these had been received.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

THOMAS M. DOUGHERTY
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2100

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required